

# Appendix C: Advanced Normalization Theory

- Reasoning with MVDs
- Higher normal forms
  - Join dependencies and PJNF
  - > DKNF





# **Theory of Multivalued Dependencies**

- Let D denote a set of functional and multivalued dependencies. The closure D<sup>+</sup> of D is the set of all functional and multivalued dependencies logically implied by D.
- Sound and complete inference rules for functional and multivalued dependencies:
- **1. Reflexivity rule**. If  $\alpha$  is a set of attributes and  $\beta \subseteq \alpha$ , then  $\alpha \to \beta$  holds.
- **2. Augmentation rule**. If  $\alpha \to \beta$  holds and  $\gamma$  is a set of attributes, then  $\gamma \alpha \to \gamma \beta$  holds.
- **3. Transitivity rule**. If  $\alpha \to \beta$  holds and  $\gamma \alpha \to \gamma \beta$  holds, then  $\alpha \to \gamma$  holds.





#### **Theory of Multivalued Dependencies (Cont.)**

- 4. Complementation rule. If  $\alpha \longrightarrow \beta$  holds, then  $\alpha \longrightarrow R \beta \alpha$  holds.
- 5. **Multivalued augmentation rule.** If  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  holds and  $\gamma \subseteq R$  and  $\delta \subseteq \gamma$ , then  $\gamma \alpha \rightarrow \delta \beta$  holds.
- 6. Multivalued transitivity rule. If  $\alpha \Longrightarrow \beta$  holds and  $\beta \Longrightarrow \gamma$  holds, then  $\alpha \Longrightarrow \gamma \beta$  holds.
- 7. **Replication rule.** If  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  holds, then  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ .
- 8. **Coalescence rule.** If  $\alpha \longrightarrow \beta$  holds and  $\gamma \subseteq \beta$  and there is a  $\delta$  such that  $\delta \subseteq R$  and  $\delta \cap \beta = \emptyset$  and  $\delta \to \gamma$ , then  $\alpha \to \gamma$  holds.





## Simplification of the Computation of D<sup>+</sup>

- We can simplify the computation of the closure of D by using the following rules (proved using rules 1-8).
  - Multivalued union rule. If  $\alpha \longrightarrow \beta$  holds and  $\alpha \longrightarrow \gamma$  holds, then  $\alpha \longrightarrow \beta \gamma$  holds.
  - ▶ Intersection rule. If  $\alpha \longrightarrow \beta$  holds and  $\alpha \longrightarrow \gamma$  holds, then  $\alpha \longrightarrow \beta \cap \gamma$  holds.
  - ▶ **Difference rule.** If If  $\alpha \longrightarrow \beta$  holds and  $\alpha \longrightarrow \gamma$  holds, then  $\alpha \longrightarrow \beta \gamma$  holds and  $\alpha \longrightarrow \gamma \beta$  holds.





## **Example**

■ 
$$R = (A, B, C, G, H, I)$$
  
 $D = \{A \rightarrow>> B$   
 $B \rightarrow>> HI$   
 $CG \rightarrow H\}$ 

- Some members of *D*<sup>+</sup>:
  - Since  $A \rightarrow CGHI$ . Since  $A \rightarrow B$ , the complementation rule (4) implies that  $A \rightarrow R - B - A$ . Since R - B - A = CGHI, so  $A \rightarrow CGHI$ .
  - A →> HI.
     Since A →> B and B →> HI, the multivalued transitivity rule (6) implies that B →> HI B.
     Since HI B = HI, A →> HI.



## **Example (Cont.)**

- Some members of D<sup>+</sup> (cont.):
  - B → H.
    Apply the coalescence rule (8); B → HI holds.
    Since H ⊆ HI and CG → H and CG ∩ HI = Ø, the coalescence rule is satisfied with α being B, β being HI, δ being CG, and γ being H. We conclude that B → H.
  - $A \longrightarrow CG$ .  $A \longrightarrow CGHI$  and  $A \longrightarrow HI$ . By the difference rule,  $A \longrightarrow CGHI - HI$ . Since CGHI - HI = CG,  $A \longrightarrow CG$ .



# Normalization Using Join Dependencies

- Join dependencies constrain the set of legal relations over a schema R to those relations for which a given decomposition is a lossless-join decomposition.
- Let R be a relation schema and  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,...,  $R_n$  be a decomposition of R. If  $R = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup ... \cup R_n$ , we say that a relation r(R) satisfies the join dependency  $*(R_1, R_2,..., R_n)$  if:

$$r = \prod_{R_1} (r) \quad \prod_{R_2} (r) \quad \dots \quad \prod_{R_n} (r)$$

A join dependency is *trivial* if one of the  $R_i$  is R itself.

- A join dependency  $*(R_1, R_2)$  is equivalent to the multivalued dependency  $R_1 \cap R_2 \longrightarrow R_2$ . Conversely,  $\alpha \longrightarrow \beta$  is equivalent to  $*(\alpha \cup (R \beta), \alpha \cup \beta)$
- However, there are join dependencies that are not equivalent to any multivalued dependency.



#### **Project-Join Normal Form (PJNF)**

A relation schema R is in PJNF with respect to a set D of functional, multivalued, and join dependencies if for all join dependencies in D<sup>+</sup> of the form

\*
$$(R_1, R_2, ..., R_n)$$
 where each  $R_i \subseteq R$  and  $R = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup ... \cup R_n$ 

at least one of the following holds:

- $\rightarrow$  \*( $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,...,  $R_n$ ) is a trivial join dependency.
- $\triangleright$  Every  $R_i$  is a superkey for R.
- Since every multivalued dependency is also a join dependency, every PJNF schema is also in 4NF.





## **Example**

- Consider Loan-info-schema = (branch-name, customer-name, loan-number, amount).
- Each loan has one or more customers, is in one or more branches and has a loan amount; these relationships are independent, hence we have the join dependency
- \*(=(loan-number, branch-name), (loan-number, customer-name), (loan-number, amount))
- Loan-info-schema is not in PJNF with respect to the set of dependencies containing the above join dependency. To put Loaninfo-schema into PJNF, we must decompose it into the three schemas specified by the join dependency:
  - (loan-number, branch-name)
  - (loan-number, customer-name)
  - (loan-number, amount)





## **Domain-Key Normal Form (DKNY)**

- **Domain declaration**. Let A be an attribute, and let **dom** be a set of values. The domain declaration  $A \subseteq \text{dom}$  requires that the A value of all tuples be values in **dom**.
- **Key declaration**. Let R be a relation schema with  $K \subseteq R$ . The key declaration **key** (K) requires that K be a superkey for schema R ( $K \rightarrow R$ ). All key declarations are functional dependencies but not all functional dependencies are key declarations.
- General constraint. A general constraint is a predicate on the set of all relations on a given schema.
- Let **D** be a set of domain constraints and let **K** be a set of key constraints for a relation schema R. Let **G** denote the general constraints for R. Schema R is in DKNF if  $D \cup K$  logically imply **G**.



## **Example**

- Accounts whose account-number begins with the digit 9 are special high-interest accounts with a minimum balance of 2500.
- General constraint: ``If the first digit of t [account-number] is 9, then t [balance] ≥ 2500."
- DKNF design:

Regular-acct-schema = (branch-name, account-number, balance) Special-acct-schema = (branch-name, account-number, balance)

- Domain constraints for {Special-acct-schema} require that for each account:
  - The account number begins with 9.
  - > The balance is greater than 2500.





## **DKNF rephrasing of PJNF Definition**

- Let  $R = (A_1, A_2, ..., A_n)$  be a relation schema. Let  $dom(A_i)$  denote the domain of attribute  $A_i$ , and let all these domains be infinite. Then all domain constraints **D** are of the form  $A_i \subseteq dom(A_i)$ .
- Let the general constraints be a set **G** of functional, multivalued, or join dependencies. If F is the set of functional dependencies in **G**, let the set **K** of key constraints be those nontrivial functional dependencies in F<sup>+</sup> of the form  $\alpha \to R$ .
- Schema R is in PJNF if and only if it is in DKNF with respect to D, K, and G.

